FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

The Law Offices of

STRAUB & POKOTYLO

620 Tinton Avenue Bldg. B, 2nd Floor Tinton Falls, NJ 07724-3260 RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JUN 3 0 2005

Telephone: 732-542-9070 Facsimile: 732-542-9071 Internet site: www.sp-ip.com

To:	Exam	iner Fr	ancis	J. Jawor	<u>ski</u>
	v.s.	Patent	and	Trademark	Office

Facsimile	No.:	(703)	872-9306

Telephone No.:

From: Michael P. Straub, Esq.

Date: June 30, 2005

Number of Pages Including Cover: 7

MESSAGE: Our law firm received this Office Action in error. We are not handling this case. Please redirect to the attorney handling the matter.

Appl. No.: 10/672,747
Applicant: Dror Nir

Filed: September 26, 2003

TC/A.U.: 3737

Examiner: Francis J. Jaworski

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper (and any accompanying paper(s)) is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patents and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Micheal P. Straub	
Type or print name of person signing	certification
Muchael Estraul	June 30, 2005
Signature	Date



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Adexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspho.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/672,747	09/26/2003	Dror Nir	U 014817-5	1019	
26479 7590 06/28/2005			EXAMINER		
STRAUB & 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		JAWORSKI,	FRANCIS J	
BLDG. B, 2NI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TINTON FAL	LS, NJ 07724		3737		
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/200	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/672,747	NIR, DROR
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jaworski Francis J.	3737
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a topi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting the within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire StX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	noly filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D G5 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 S		
	s action is non-final.	occution as to the medic is
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayre, 1955 O.D. 11, 4	33 3.3. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 8-10 and 18-21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-10 and 18-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 September 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to, See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Palent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 26 September 2003	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/672,747

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-10 and 18-21 are present for examination per September 26,2003 pre-amdt.

Claims 8-9 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b,e) as being anticipated by Giger et al (US5657362) or in the alternative as obvious based upon Giger et al (362 in view of Giger et al (US2001/0043279) or Gilhuijs et al (US6317617).

The former teaches thresholding to determine benign versus malignant mammograms with classification being tissue texture or irregularity-based histogram calculations and analysis done by the processor engine of an expert system performing computer-assisted diagnosis. The radiogram is argued to be produced by a process which involves attenuation of x-radiation and therefore is related to scattered or reflected radiation.

However if the former be argued to not represent detection of reflected or scattered waves in the sense of through-transmission radiographs then it would have

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/672,747

Art Unit: 3737

been obvious in view of the latter to use ultrasound per para [0070] of the published application or from Gilhuijs et al since ultrasound mammograms may be derived with out exposing the patient to harmful ionizing radiation.

Claims 10 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giger et al '362 alone or further in view of Giger et al as applied to claims 9, 20 above, and further in view of Gilhuijs et al since the latter teaches the suitability of Fourier analysis by the neural network for evaluating texture abnormalities of tissue, see col. 12 lines 38-51 as exemplary.

Nishikawa et al (US6058322 and 5598481) and Asada et al (US5463548) are representative of neural network-based and wavelet-based analyses for discriminating malignant tissue based upon texture.

Miller (US6393157) discusses wavelet versus Fourier/entropy analyses for this purpose.

Smith et al (US5644232) is representative of an MRI-based system which uses thresholding and tissue texture/irregularity analysis by a neural network including entropy analysis to categorize tissue to determine if malignant.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jaworski Francis J. at telephone number 571-272-4738

FJJ:fjj

06252005

Francis J. Jaworski Primary Examiner

FORM PTO-1449 U. S DEPARTMENT OF			COMMERCE	ATTY, DOCKET NO.		SERIAL	SERIAL NO.		
PATENT AND TRADEM			ARK OFFICE		014817-5				
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE					APPLICANT				
	3	STATEMENT BY AP	PLICANT	:			Dr r NIF		
	•	Use several sheets	if necessary	/)	FILING DATE			GRO	JP
				·					
RE	FEREN	CE DESIGNATION		U.S. PATE	NT DOCUM	IENTS	· •		
XAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME		CLASS	SUB	-	IG DATE IF ROPRIATE
1/11	AA	4,453,550	06/1984	Flax	·	600	437		
	АВ	4,655,228	04/1987	Shimura et al.		73	602		
	AC	4,858,124	08/1989	Lizzi et al.		73	602		·······
	AD	4,851,984	07/1989	Doi et al.		382	6		
	AE	5,319,549	06/1994	Katsuragawa et al.		382	6		
	AF	6,282,305	08/2001	Huo et al.		382	128		
	AG 5,982,917 11/1999 Clarke et al.			382	132		***********		
	АН	4,803,994	02/1989	Burke		600	442		
	AI	5,651,363	07/1997	Kaufman et al.		600	438		
	AJ	4,817,015	03/1989	Insana et al.		600	437	<u> </u>	
	AK	6,317,617	11/2001	Gilhuijs et al.		600	443		
-	AL	5,524,636	06/1996	Sarvazyan et al.		128	774		
	AM	5,839,441	11/1998	Steinberg		128 660.04			
•	AN	6,234,968	05/2001	Sumanaweera		600	443		
	AO	6,312,382	11/2001	Mucci et al.	600 43		437		
	AP	5,081,993	01/1992	Kitney et al.		128 661.08			
	AQ	5,107,841	04/1992	Sturgil	128		661.09		
U	AR	2002/0040187	04/2002	Alam et al.		600	442		
			FOREIGN	PATENT DOCUM	ENTS				
	DOCUMENT NUMBER		DATE	COLUMN			SU6-		SLATION
		NUMBER DATE		COUNTRY		CLASS	CLASS	YES	NO
	AS								
	AT								
	AU								
	AV			·····					
	AW								
	AX								

EXAMINER:

Initial incitation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO.: EV 327550146 US Form PTO-1449 6-4

•	Notice of References Cited			Application/Control No. 10/672,747		Reexamination NIR, DROR	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination NIR, DROR		
				Examiner		Art Unit	Page 1 of 1		
					Jaworski Fran		3737		
	· __		T 5-4-	U.S. P	ATENT DOCUMEN	ITS			
		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	<u> </u>	,	Name		Classification	
	А	US-5,657,362	08-1997	Giger 6	et al.			378/37	
	В	US-2001/0043729	11-2001	Giger e	et al.			382/128	
	С	US-6,058,322	05-2000	Nishika	awa et al.			600/408	
	D	US-5,598,481	01-1997	Nishika	awa et al.			382/130	
	E	US-5,463,548	10-1995	Asada	et al.			600/300	
	F	US-6,393,157	05-2002	Miller,	Lance			382/254	
	G	US-5,644,232	07-1997	Smith,	Justin P.			324/304	
	н	US-							
	1	US-							
	J	US-							
	к	US-							
	L	US-							
T	м	US-							
				FOREIGN	N PATENT DOCUM	MENTS			
-		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY		Country		lame	Classification	
\bot	N			 					
1	0								
_	P			ļ					
4	٥			ļ					
\perp	R		-	<u> </u>					
\perp	S			ļ					
	Τ		<u> </u>						
- {			.d 11 11		Title Date Dublish		lumo Reginant Canan		
+		Inclu	ide as applicabl	e: Author,	THE Date, Publish	er, Eultion of Vo	lume, Pertinent Pages)		
	U				·				
T	٧			<u></u>					
		ì							
+	v								

"A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)
Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

Notice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 06252005